

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Contact:
Pamela Avery
pam@turnerstrategies.com
402-305-0799

**Wal-Mart Sex Discrimination Class Action Plaintiffs Confident Strong Evidence
In Lower Court Ruling Will Be Major Factor in Supreme Court Decision**

(WASHINGTON, D.C. –Dec. 6, 2010) Attorneys for lead Plaintiffs in the *Dukes v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.*, class action lawsuit today stated that they “welcome the U.S. Supreme Court’s limited review of the sex discrimination case and are confident that the Court will agree that the women of Wal-Mart are entitled to their day in court.” The Supreme Court announced today that it will conduct limited review of an April 2010 ruling by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit granting class certification of the case.

“We welcome the Supreme Court’s limited review of the class certification decision in this case. As that decision was based on a vast body of evidence, we are confident that the decision to certify the class was sound,” said Plaintiffs’ lead co-counsel Joseph M. Sellers, partner, Cohen Milstein Sellers & Toll, PLLC, Washington, D.C. “We believe the Court will reach the same decision after reviewing the record before the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, where class certification was granted in June 2004.”

The Supreme Court rejected review of a host of other issues raised by Wal-Mart.

The Plaintiffs’ brief filed last month in opposition to Wal-Mart’s Petition for a writ of *certiorari* — Supreme Court review — argued that the Ninth Circuit ruling upholding the class was proper. It highlighted that Wal-Mart ignored the compelling facts that led the trial court—in a detailed 84-page opinion—to conclude that there was significant evidence of company-wide pay and promotion discrimination. The evidence also showed that Wal-Mart lagged far behind its competitors in its promotion of women and long knew of the discrimination against its female employees but failed to act. It is the largest civil rights class action in history, and Wal-Mart has lost the class action issue four times in lower court rulings.

“After ten years of litigation, we are eager to have the Court finally resolve the procedural issues that Wal-Mart has raised in its effort to delay the trial of this case,” said Plaintiffs’ lead counsel Brad Seligman, of the Impact Fund, Berkeley, Calif.

For more information on the case, visit www.walmartclass.com.

Dukes v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., Plaintiffs are represented by the Impact Fund, Berkeley, Calif.; Cohen Milstein Sellers & Toll, PLLC, Washington, D.C.; Equal Rights Advocates (ERA), San Francisco, Calif.; Davis Cowell & Bowe, San Francisco, Calif.; Public Justice Center, Baltimore, Md.; and Tinkler Law Firm and Merit Bennett, Santa Fe, N.M.